

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 979 Case file # 95-295916.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CAM 3/2 A

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 90-110329

Laboratory #(s): 01126043

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, guilty plea

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: ~~0110329~~ <sup>Sum</sup> 01126043 Date: June 20, 1991

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 01126043

Initials: Sum

*CRP 602*

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated Nov. 13, 1990

### Results of Review

File #: 90-110329 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q3, K1-K3

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 979 Case file # 95-295916.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CRM 683

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 90-110329

Laboratory #(s): 01126043

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, guilty plea

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

## Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: <sup>Sum</sup> ~~0110329~~ 01126043 Date: June 20, 1991

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 01126043

Page 1 of 3

Initials: Sum

CRIM 685 #

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated Nov. 13, 1990

### Results of Review

File #: 90-110329 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q3, K1-K3

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SMA

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-280480

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink

and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. There is a "Confirmation" sheet, signed by                      but not b6  
b7C

dated. This "Confirmation" sheet does not document which hair were examined or the results of the confirmation examination.

Review completed at: 10:15 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.50 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

*Steve Robertson*  
(Signature)

Oct. 22, 2002  
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SRM